NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

AN ORDER OF THE BOARD

NO. P.U. 56(2016)

- 1 **IN THE MATTER OF** the *Electrical Power*
- 2 Control Act, 1994, SNL 1994, Chapter E-5.1
- 3 (the "EPCA") and the Public Utilities Act, RSNL
- 4 1990, Chapter P-47, as amended (the "*Act*"), and
- 5 regulations thereunder; and
- 6
- 7 **IN THE MATTER OF** an amended general rate
- 8 application filed by Newfoundland and Labrador
- 9 Hydro on November 10, 2014 and Board Order
- 10 No. P.U. 49(2016); and
- 11
- 12 **IN THE MATTER OF** an application filed by
- 13 Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro for approval of
- 14 a cost deferral account for 2016.
- 15 16

17 The Application

18

On December 1, 2016 the Board issued Order No. P.U. 49(2016) providing its findings and decisions on Hydro's amended general rate application for 2014 and 2015 test years, and, among other things, directing Hydro to file revised proposals in relation to its revenue requirement, rate base and return on rate base for the test years incorporating the determinations of the Board in Order No. P.U. 49(2016).

24

25 Hydro filed an application on December 9, 2016 for approval of a 2016 cost deferral account (the 26 "2016 Cost Deferral Account") in the amount of \$38.8 million (the "Application"). The Application stated that the timing of Order No. P.U. 49(2016) and the corresponding compliance 27 filing would not allow for the implementation of the Board's findings in 2016 and that this will 28 29 create a material revenue deficiency for Hydro in 2016, impeding Hydro's ability to earn a 30 reasonable return in 2016. The Application further stated that Hydro's forecast net loss in 2016 31 of \$14.9 million is primarily as a result of increased fuel expense resulting from Rate 32 Stabilization Plan adjustments and increased supply costs.

33

The Application noted that in Order No. P.U. 49(2016) the Board approved certain proposed supply cost deferrals to be implemented effective January 1, 2015, specifically the Isolated Systems Supply Cost Variance Deferral, the Energy Supply Cost Variance Deferral, and the Holyrood Conversion Rate Deferral, but directed Hydro to file amended account definitions to reflect the Board's findings. According to the Application implementation of the supply cost

- deferral accounts approved by the Board in Order No. P.U. 49(2016) for 2015 and 2016 would
- 40 provide Hydro with an additional \$38.8 million in cost recovery, resulting in a forecast net

income of \$23.9 million in 2016 and a 6.63% return on rate base, which is within the forecast
allowed rate of return on rate base calculated to reflect the finding of the Board in Order No.
P.U. 49(2016). The Application proposed that the amount of \$38.8 million be deferred in the
2016 Cost Deferral Account, which represents the under-recovery of supply costs for the period
2015 and 2016.

6

7 Submissions and Request for Information

8

9 The Application was circulated to the parties to the amended general rate application: 10 Newfoundland Power Inc. ("Newfoundland Power"); the Consumer Advocate; a group of Island 11 Industrial customers: Corner Brook Pulp and Paper Limited and NARL Refining Limited 12 Partnership (the "Industrial Customer Group"); Teck Resources Limited; Vale Newfoundland 13 and Labrador Limited ("Vale"); the Innu Nation; the Towns of Labrador City, Wabush, Happy 14 Valley-Goose Bay and North West River (the "Towns of Labrador"); Nunatsiavut Government; 15 and Yvonne Jones, MP Labrador.

16

Newfoundland Power and the Industrial Customer Group filed submissions on December 15,
2016. The Consumer Advocate filed a submission on December 16, 2016. Hydro filed a reply
submission on December 16, 2016.

20

Newfoundland Power noted that Hydro does not propose to record any other transactions in the 22 2016 Cost Deferral Account associated with Order No. P.U. 49(2016) other than those associated 23 with the supply cost deferral accounts for 2015 and 2016. Newfoundland Power further noted 24 that it appears the forecast net loss for 2016 is due in part to Hydro recording cost disallowances 25 associated with Order No. P.U. 49(2016) based on accounting standards, but that the extent to 26 which the cost disallowances, and other aspects of the Board's Order, have been recorded is 27 unclear. Newfoundland Power stated:

28 29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

It also appears other transactions as a result of the Order have been recorded by Hydro without the requirement of a further order from the Board. According to Hydro, these transactions were recognized based on the accounting standards requiring cost recognition in 2016. In its evidence in support of the Application, Hydro does not describe the transactions that were recorded as a result of the accounting standards. Further, Hydro does not provide evidence why the accounting standards require a further order from the Board to record transactions associated with the supply cost deferral accounts.

It is unclear to Newfoundland Power why an order in respect of the Application is required to record only the expenditures associated with the supply cost deferral accounts in 2016. So, while Newfoundland Power believes it is reasonable that Hydro record the transactions as proposed in the Application, it is equally reasonable that any other transactions that can be estimated as a result of the Order in 2016 also be indicated to provide reasonable context for decision-making on the Application.

43

Newfoundland Power also stated that, while it appears that the Hydro has appropriately recorded expenditures associated with supply costs in determining the amount of \$38.8 million related to these accounts, the Application does not provide any meaningful evidence indicating that these expenditures were consistent with the least cost, reliable operation of the power system. Newfoundland Power submitted that, for clarity, the Board should order Hydro to file supporting evidence to this effect with either its annual application for disposition of the balances in the supply cost deferral accounts or as part of its compliance filing arising from Order No. P.U.
 49(2016).

3

The Consumer Advocate's submission referenced the Board's comments in Order No. P.U. 49(2016) that, according to Court of Appeal, the setting of a rate of return is to the extent practicable to be done prospectively. The Consumer Advocate also noted the Board's statement that there was no entitlement to a rate of return but rather the management of the utility is responsible to manage its business effectively to earn the return which is approved in a general rate application process. The Consumer Advocate submitted that, in considering the Application, the Board must be satisfied that there will be adherence to these principles.

11

12 The Industrial Customer Group noted the abbreviated time schedule for comments and stated 13 that, as result, they are not in a position to add any substantive comments and are satisfied to 14 have the Board consider the Application based on the submissions of Hydro and Newfoundland 15 Power.

16

17 In its reply submission filed on December 16, 2016 Hydro provided further clarification on the 18 accounting standards relied on to reduce previous cost deferrals to be recorded in 2016. Hydro 19 also explained that, since the deferral definitions for the three cost deferral accounts have not yet 20 been finalized and the accounts are still subject to a further order of the Board, accounting 21 standards do not permit recording of these transactions in 2016. Hydro submitted:

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

...this is not an application for recovery of the amounts proposed to be placed in the 2016 Cost Deferral Account. Hydro submits that the amounts proposed to be included in the 2016 Cost Deferral Account were calculated consistent with the Board's direction and orders as provided in the 2013 GRA Order and reflect supply costs that were prudently incurred by Hydro in the provision of service to its customers. However, Hydro would like to clarify that that this is an application for the creation of a deferral account for 2016 financial reporting purposes with the intent that recovery of the amounts would be dealt with through a further application to the Board.

Hydro also stated that approval of this application would not have any impact on ratepayers and
also that it has provided evidence to demonstrate that it will incur financial losses in 2016
without the relief provided by the 2016 Cost Deferral Account.

- On December 19, 2016 the Board issued a request for information (PUB-NLH-001) to Hydro
 seeking the following information:
- 38 39

40

41

42

On page 3 of the Application Hydro states that the increased net loss for 2016 of \$14.9 million reflects the recognition of certain items outlined in the Board's 2013 GRA Order which are required to be recorded in 2016. Please provide specific details of the items recorded including the amounts and basis of calculation. In your response please provide details regarding any reductions in the 2014 and 2015 cost deferral accounts.

43 44

Hydro provided a reply to this information request on December 19, 2016, which was circulated
to the parties for comment. In its response Hydro stated that accounting standards require
adjustments to the 2014 cost deferral on the basis of disallowances ordered by the Board in the
prudence review and general rate application [Order Nos. P.U. 13(2016) and P.U. 49(2016)].

Hydro provided details of the calculation of the financial statement adjustments required in
 relation to 2014 in the amount of \$9.3 million.¹

3

With regards to the 2015 cost deferral Hydro explained that its initial assessment of the \$30.2 million approved by the Board in Order No. P.U. 36(2015) is lower than the amount expected to be recoverable from customers. According to Hydro accounting standards do not provide for an increase in the 2015 cost deferral for financial reporting purposes solely based on the Board's general rate application order due to the uncertainty surrounding the amount to be recovered from customers prior to the approval of Hydro's compliance application to be filed in 2017.

10

On December 20, 2016 Newfoundland Power filed a further submission and observed that the evidence indicates that the forecast 2016 net loss of \$14.9 million is due, in part, to a write down resulting from the prudency disallowances. Newfoundland Power noted that the original evidence indicated that, with the relief requested, Hydro is forecast to earn above the mid-point of the 2016 range of return on rate base. Newfoundland Power argued that the proposed relief may have the practical effect of relieving Hydro from the consequences of the Board's prudency disallowances.

18

In its response filed on December 20, 2016 Hydro stated that Newfoundland Power's suggestion that the relief requested may have the practical effect of relieving Hydro from the consequences of the Board's prudency disallowances is a misrepresentation of the facts supporting the application. Hydro submitted that

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

...the proposed cost deferral amount is supported by the combined effect on earnings of not being provided the opportunity to recover prudently incurred supply costs over a two-year period, specifically 2015 and 2016. Hydro reported net losses in 2015. The proposed cost deferral for 2016 should not be reviewed as an application for a single year but should also give consideration to related information from prior years.

30 Hydro explained that its preliminary review indicates that additional revenue will need to be 31 recognized in 2017 as a result of the cumulative impact of updating the 2014 and 2015 test year 32 revenue requirements and the 2016 revenue deficiency. According to Hydro it should not be 33 penalized solely as a result of accounting rules applying a different standard in recognition of the 34 negative aspects of the general rate application order than is required in considering the potential positive aspects. Hydro submitted that it is not seeking to avoid any consequences of the Board's 35 36 prudence order and that the rate implementation order resulting from its compliance filing will address the consequences of cost recovery of both the prudence order and the general rate 37 38 application order.

39

40 No other submissions were filed.

¹ In Appendix A of PUB-NLH-001 Hydro sets out the specific adjustments to the \$45.9 million deferral account for 2014 approved by the Board, which include reductions of \$6.1 million related to prudence, \$6.1 million related to GRA fuel adjustments, and \$8.9 million for other GRA related adjustments ordered by the Board. The total adjustment is a reduction of \$21.1 million, resulting in an estimated revised 2014 cost deferral of \$24.7 million. In its 2016 Q3 financial statements Hydro recorded an estimated revised 2014 cost deferral of \$34.0 million, resulting in the need for a further adjustment of \$9.3 million for 2016.

1 Board Findings

As noted by the Board in Order No. P.U. 36(2015) it is accepted regulatory practice for a utility to request and be granted interim relief in advance of the conclusion of a general rate application and the implementation of final rates. The Board stated at page 9:

In granting interim relief, either in the form of interim rates and/or deferral of costs, the Board must be satisfied that such relief is demonstrated to be necessary in the circumstances and in accordance with sound utility regulatory practice. Approval of such relief is not, and should not be viewed to be, routine and each request must be considered in the context and circumstances under which it is requested.

11 12

10

2

6 7

8

9

The Board accepts that, as a result of the timing of Order No. P.U. 49(2016), Hydro is not in a position to implement new rates in 2016 to reflect the findings of the Board. The Board also accepts that, without an order of the Board granting relief, Hydro will have a material revenue deficiency in 2016. In the circumstances the Board accepts that it is reasonable to approve a cost deferral for 2016.

18

The proposed amount of the 2016 deferral is based on an estimate of the 2015 and 2016 supply costs which would be deferred in the Isolated Systems Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account, the Energy Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account and the Holyrood Conversion Rate Deferral Account, approved in Order No. P.U. 49(2016), effective January 1, 2015. The Board accepts that it is reasonable to approve the deferral of the 2016 supply costs calculated in accordance with the Board's findings in relation to these deferral accounts. This approach would provide for the timely deferral of the 2016 costs in accordance with the approval of the Board.

26

27 In relation to the deferral of the 2015 supply costs, the Board accepts that the estimated increased 28 net loss for 2016 of \$14.9 million reflects the recognition of certain aspects of the Board's 29 findings in Order No. P.U. 49(2016) which are required to be recorded in 2016. In particular 30 Hydro is required, based on accounting standards, to make adjustments in 2016 to reduce the reported value of the 2014 cost deferral based on Order Nos. P.U. 13(2016) and P.U. 49(2016). 31 32 Further accounting standards do not provide for an adjustment to reflect the estimated increase in 33 the 2015 cost deferral. The Board acknowledges that, in light of the timing of the Board's order, 34 Hydro's 2016 financial results are negatively impacted by the impacts of the required downward 35 adjustment for 2014 but the estimated upward adjustment for 2015 will not be reflected. In the 36 circumstances the Board believes that it is reasonable to allow a 2016 deferral of the 2015 supply 37 costs which would be collected in the three deferral accounts approved effective January 1, 2015.

38

39 The Board does not accept, as suggested by Newfoundland Power, that the proposal would 40 relieve Hydro from the consequences of the Board's prudency disallowances. These 41 disallowances have been reflected in the 2014 revenue deficiency and will similarly be reflected 42 in the 2015 revenue deficiency. The approval of the deferral of the supply costs in 2016 43 calculated in accordance with three approved supply cost deferral accounts allows Hydro to take 44 into 2016 a deferral for both 2015 and 2016 to offset the negative impact of adjustments for 2014 45 including the prudence disallowances. This is, in the Board's view, a reasonable balance between 46 the interests of Hydro, which is entitled to recover those supply costs as approved by the Board, 47 and consumers, who will not have to bear the costs of the prudence disallowances. 48

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

1

2 3 4

5 6

- 1. Hydro's proposed 2016 cost deferral account, as set out in Schedule A, in the amount of \$38.8 million is approved.
- 2. Hydro shall pay the expenses of the Board arising from this Application.

DATED at St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador this 22nd day of December, 2016.

Andy Wells Chair & Chief Executive Officer

thelen

Darlene Whalen, P.Eng. Vice-Chair

Dwarda Newman, LL.B. Commissioner

Chérvl Blundon

Board Secretary

Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro 2016 Cost Deferral Account

This account shall be charged with the amount of \$38.8 million for 2016. Disposition of the balance in this account will be subject to a further order of the Board.