

1 **Q. The response to PUB-NP-069 indicated that from 1 to 3 distribution feeders were**
2 **not timely inspected from 2011 through 2013. Where these feeders inspected during**
3 **the following years? Why were they not inspected during the years scheduled?**
4

5 A. There was 1 distribution line inspection not completed in 2011 as scheduled. This
6 distribution line inspection had been identified for the wrong year in the 7 year inspection
7 cycle. As a result this inspection was cancelled in 2011 and a new inspection was created
8 and completed in 2013.
9

10 There was 1 distribution line inspection not completed in 2012 as scheduled. This was the
11 result of a temporary re-assignment of the Planner to another project.¹ This line
12 inspection was completed early in 2013.
13

14 There were 3 distribution line inspections not completed in 2013 as scheduled. Two of
15 these inspections were for distribution feeders that had significant rebuild work
16 completed on them in recent years. Inspections were completed on the original sections
17 of these 2 feeders in 2013. The work orders remained open into 2014 to allow for the
18 completion of inspections on the recently rebuilt sections of distribution feeder.
19

20 The 3rd line inspection not completed in 2013 was for a hydro plant forebay line that had
21 most recently been inspected in 2008. This line inspection had been identified for the
22 wrong year in the 7 year inspection cycle. A new inspection is rescheduled for this
23 forebay line in 2015.

¹ The feeder that was not inspected in 2012 was DOY-01, located in the Company's Stephenville operating area. The planner responsible for this area was temporarily re-assigned as a site supervisor for a *Substation Refurbishment and Modernization* project. The DOY-01 feeder inspection was completed early in 2013. The delay in completing the inspection had no impact on completing the *Rebuild Distribution Line* project on schedule in 2013.