(Reference NP 2023 Capital Budget Application) 1 Q. 2 3 In NP's 2023 Capital Budget Application, and all recent capital budget 4 applications, a number of projects are proposed that are not specifically 5 identified and costed. These projects provide NP with the flexibility to address 6 *"high-priority deficiencies that are identified during inspections or recognized* 7 during operational problems, including customer outages and trouble calls" 8 (Schedule B, page 37). The costs for these projects are based on historical 9 expenditures. Such projects include, for example: 1) Distribution Reconstruction (\$6.7 million), 2) Replacement Transformers (\$3.35 million), 10 11 3) New Transformers (\$3.0 million), 4) Substation Replacements Due to In-12 Service Failures (\$4.4 million), etc. 13 14 a) Did NP consider re-prioritization or re-design of approved projects in lieu of 15 this supplemental capital budget application? For example, could the Long 16 Pond Substation Capacity Expansion Project be modified? If not, why not? If so, please identify what was considered and why it was not pursued. 17 b) Why did NP not address the MUN-T2 power transformer replacement 18 19 project under one of the above project categories, for example, the Substation Replacements Due to In-service Failures Project, rather than file 20 a supplemental capital budget application? 21 c) If NP had addressed the proposed project under one of the above approved 22 projects, how much time would have been saved relative to filing this 23 Supplemental Capital Budget Application? 24 25 d) How much time is NP saving by filing this Supplemental Capital Budget 26 Application relative to filing the project as part of the 2024 Capital Budget 27 **Application?** 28 e) What are the comparative risks to the University load and equipment 29 associated with the proposed project if it had been addressed under: 1) the 2023 Capital Budget Application, 2) this Supplemental Capital Budget 30 31 Application, and 3) the 2024 Capital Budget Application? f) What are the comparative costs of regulation associated with the proposed 32 33 project if it had been addressed under: 1) the 2023 Capital Budget 34 Application, 2) this supplemental Capital Budget Application, and 3) the 35 2024 Capital Budget Application? q) If NP believes that this Supplemental Capital Budget Application is the only 36 37 valid approach under the current capital budget application guidelines, how does it recommend the Guidelines be modified to improve regulatory 38 efficiency going forward? 39 40 a) There were no opportunities to reprioritize or redesign approved 2023 capital 41 Α. expenditures in lieu of this application. All capital projects approved by the Board as 42 part of Newfoundland Power's 2023 Capital Budget Application are necessary to 43 continue providing safe, adequate and reliable service to customers at the lowest 44 45 possible cost.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		The <i>Long Pond Substation Capacity Expansion</i> project cannot be modified. This project is occurring in 2023 to increase the capacity of Long Pond ("LPD") Substation to allow for the university's project to add new electric boilers in 2024. ¹ Due to the limitations on the customer-owned distribution system, additional MUN Substation load cannot be transferred to LPD Substation regardless of the additional capacity available at LPD Substation. ² Modifications to the <i>Long Pond Substation Capacity Expansion</i> project cannot be utilized to address the failure of MUN-T2.
9 10 11 12 13		Additionally, the <i>Public Utilities Act</i> prohibits Newfoundland Power from undertaking additions or improvements to its property without prior approval of the Board. ³ In Newfoundland Power's view, a substantial redesign of a previously approved project would require further review by the Board. ⁴
14 15 16 17 18 19	b)	The <i>Reconstruction</i> and <i>Replacement Transformers</i> programs are required to address high priority deficiencies and deteriorated assets on the distribution system. The <i>New Transformers</i> program is required to connect new customers to the distribution system. The replacement of MUN-T2 at Memorial Substation is outside the nature and scope of these programs.
20 21 22		Newfoundland Power considered whether the replacement of MUN-T2 could be completed under the <i>Substation Replacements Due to In-Service Failures</i> program.
23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30		The <i>Substation Replacements Due to In-Service Failures</i> program is required to address substation equipment that has failed in service. Substation equipment that fails in service requires immediate attention as it is essential to the reliability of supply to customers. This can include the replacement of failed circuit breakers, reclosers, high-voltage switches and other equipment. The 2023 budget for the <i>Substation Replacements Due to In-Service Failures</i> program is approximately \$4.4 million.
31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39		Historically, the <i>Substation Replacements Due to In-Service Failures</i> program has allowed for the timely repair of power transformers, the installation of spares in response to failures and the procurement and installation of smaller units. However, the procurement and installation of a new power transformer with the capacity of MUN-T2 would be challenging to accommodate under this program due to the magnitude of the associated costs. For example, the replacement of MUN-T2 would account for over one third of the total budget of the <i>Substation Replacements Due to In-Service Failures</i> program. Accommodating the replacement of MUN-T2 under that program may therefore either hinder Newfoundland Power's ability to complete

¹ For more information, see the *2023 Capital Budget Application, Schedule B, Long Pond Substation Capacity Expansion.*

² See the response to Request for Information PUB-NP-001.

³ See section 41(3) of the *Public Utilities Act.*

⁴ For example, the Board's *Capital Budget Application Guidelines (Provisional)* (the "Provisional Guidelines") require a further review of multi-year projects where there has been been a material change in the nature, scope or rationale of a project, or where the revised forecast expenditure exceeds the approved amount of 10% or more.

1 2 3		other necessary work in substations, or expose the program to the risk of a significant variance from the budgeted amount.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9		In evaluating whether it would be appropriate to replace MUN-T2 under the <i>Substation Replacements Due to In-Service Failures</i> program, Newfoundland Power also considered the redundancy available at LPD Substation. This redundancy allows service to be maintained at Memorial University while regulatory approval is sought to replace MUN-T2.
10 11 12		Based on these considerations, the Company determined it was appropriate to file an application for the approval of supplemental capital expenditures to replace MUN-T2.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	c)	Replacing MUN-T2 under one of the programs listed in part b) of this response is not a viable option. Newfoundland Power cannot estimate how much time would have been saved under the hypothetical scenario requested as it would depend on the length and outcome of the current proceeding.
	d)	If approved, filing an application for supplemental capital expenditures to replace MUN-T2 will allow the replacement unit to be installed in 2024. Deferring the project to the Company's <i>2024 Capital Budget Application</i> would defer the installation to 2025 due to extended delivery times for power transformers.
	e)	A project to replace MUN-T2 could not have been included in Newfoundland Power's <i>2023 Capital Budget Application</i> as its deteriorated condition was not known at the time of filing that application. Additionally, as explained in part b) of this response, it would not be appropriate to accommodate the replacement of MUN-T2 under one of the Company's previously approved capital programs.
29 30 31 32		As discussed in part d) of this response, deferring the replacement of MUN-T2 until Newfoundland Power's next capital budget application would mean the university would face a heightened risk of prolonged outages for an additional year.
32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43	f)	Newfoundland Power cannot estimate the comparative costs of regulation associated with the alternatives listed in this question. The costs would depend on the nature of each regulatory proceeding.
	g)	The Provisional Guidelines establish that applications for supplemental capital expenditures may be submitted for the approval of expenditures that are unanticipated and cannot be deferred. In Newfoundland Power's view, a mechanism to permit the approval of such expenditures is a necessary feature of the regulatory process. The Company does not consider it necessary to modify the Provisional Guidelines relating to supplemental capital applications in order to improve regulatory efficiency.