1	Refer	rence: Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne
2 3	0	Volume 2 Cost of Conital Depart by James Course page 70 lines 22 24 Mr. Course
3 4	Q.	Volume 3, Cost of Capital Report by James Coyne, page 79, lines 22-24. Mr. Coyne concludes that "the current deemed common equity ratio for Newfoundland Power
4 5		of 45 percent remains the minimum appropriate level given these relative financial
5 6		and business risks". Did Mr. Coyne quantify these relative financial and business
7		risks in reaching his conclusions for the report? If yes provide the quantification. If
8 9		no, provide them at this time.
10	A.	Mr. Coyne provides a comparison of the deemed equity ratios for other Canadian electric
11		investor-owned utilities ("IOU") in his Cost of Capital report in Figure 30. He also
12		provides a comparison of the credit metrics for Newfoundland Power and the Canadian
13		and U.S. proxy group companies in Exhibit JMC-10. Business risk is more qualitative in
14		nature than financial risk. Starting on page 57 of Concentric's <i>Cost of Capital</i> report, Mr.
15		Coyne provided evidence regarding the business risk of Newfoundland Power, including
16		1) its small size relative to other electric IOU, 2) macroeconomic and demographic trends
17		in the province and elsewhere in Canada, 3) operating risks associated with the
18		Company's service territory, 4) changes in the power supply, and 5) competition from
19		alternative fuels. Mr. Coyne also provided a comparison of Newfoundland Power's
20		business risk relative to five other investor-owned electric utility companies in Canada
21		starting on page 68 of his Cost of Capital report, and he provided an assessment of the
22		regulatory risk of his U.S. proxy group compared to Newfoundland Power in Exhibit
23		JMC-12 and on pages 75-78 of the Cost of Capital report.
24		
25		In Mr. Coyne's view, it is not possible to quantify the business risks of a regulated utility
26		such as Newfoundland Power.