- 1 Q. Please confirm that in 2015 Mr. Coyne stated (page 3) that his 9.5% ROE 2 recommendation was "just below the average of 9.7% across all three methods, 3 centered with the North American range and supported by all other methods and 4 proxy groups with the exception of the Canadian CAPM." In contrast in the 5 current hearing (page 3) his recommendation is just below the 10% of his North 6 American proxy group. Please explain the change in the reference results for his 7 recommendation. 8
- 9 A. Mr. Coyne has consistently indicated in his reports in 2015, 2018 and 2021 that he views 10 the North American proxy group and the U.S. Electric proxy group as being more 11 comparable in risk to Newfoundland Power. His ROE recommendation has been based 12 primarily on the average results for the North American and U.S. Electric proxy groups, 13 while also considering the average results for the Canadian proxy group. Mr. Coyne does 14 not perceive the approach taken in 2021 as being any different than the method he used in 15 prior years to establish his range of results and his ultimate ROE recommendation for Newfoundland Power. 16