- Q. (Application Volume 1, page 1-2) It is stated "This forecast decline in energy sales reflects the challenging economic conditions in Newfoundland Power's service territory. Housing starts in the province are forecast to decline, unemployment is expected to remain high, and Provincial Government spending is expected to be constrained as the province addresses its debt obligations and annual fiscal deficits."

  a) Is the economic situation expected to be even worse with the introduction of
  - a) Is the economic situation expected to be even worse with the introduction of Muskrat Falls Project costs in rates later this year? Please explain.
  - b) What is NP doing to assist its customers during this very difficult economic period?
  - c) Is proposing an increase in return from the current 8.5% to 9.8% consistent with this economic scenario?
  - A. a) The potential impact of the Muskrat Falls Project on the province's economic situation has been recognized in industry publications. For example, a report from the Atlantic Provinces Economic Council ("APEC") in January 2021 stated:

"The controversial, delayed and overbudget Muskrat Falls hydroelectricity project could lead to a spike in electricity prices from \$13.5 cents/kwh to \$22.9 cents/kwh in 2021. This would create a severe challenge for industrial consumers and households. Yet mitigating such an increase for electricity rate payers could cost an estimated \$725 million in 2021 alone, a large fiscal burden for the province to absorb. The province is therefore working to protect both ratepayers and taxpayers and entered into negotiations with the federal government on a rate mitigation plan."

On July 28, 2021, the Provincial Government and the Federal Government announced an agreement-in-principle to mitigate rate impacts associated with the Muskrat Falls Project. The mitigated customer rate target was updated to 14.7 ¢/kWh, or approximately 9% higher than the previously indicated target of 13.5 ¢/kWh.

The potential rate increase identified in this announcement is significant. Additionally, this announcement does not account for the risk of other potential rate impacts resulting from the Muskrat Falls Project, such as future investments required to address the reliability of the Labrador Island Link or requirements for additional sources of supply on the Island Interconnected System.<sup>3</sup> It is therefore reasonable to conclude that the Muskrat Falls Project continues to present a challenge for the province's economic situation.

See APEC Commentary, *Challenges and Prospects for Newfoundland and Labrador's Economy*, January 28, 2021, page 5.

 $<sup>^{2}</sup>$  14.7 ÷ 13.5 – 1 = 0.089, or approximately 9%.

See the 2022/2023 General Rate Application, Volume 1, Application, Company Evidence and Exhibits, Section 3: Finance, pages 3-34 to 3-35.

the expert opinion of Mr. James Coyne of Concentric Energy Advisors.  Mr. Coyne's recommendation is based upon analytical tools and data sources normally used for such purposes before regulators in Canada and the U.S. This included: (i) an examination of the legal and regulatory requirements for determining a fair rate of return; (ii) selection of proxy groups with companies comparable to Newfoundland Power with respect to business and financial risks; (iii) estimation of the cost of common equity for the proxy group of companies using various methodologies; (iv) examination of authorized returns on equity fo other investor-owned electric utilities in Canada and the U.S.; and (v) development of a range of results for the proxy groups. <sup>4</sup>	1	b)	See response to Request for Information PUB-NP-010.
the expert opinion of Mr. James Coyne of Concentric Energy Advisors.  Mr. Coyne's recommendation is based upon analytical tools and data sources normally used for such purposes before regulators in Canada and the U.S. This included: (i) an examination of the legal and regulatory requirements for determining a fair rate of return; (ii) selection of proxy groups with companies comparable to Newfoundland Power with respect to business and financial risks; (iii) estimation of the cost of common equity for the proxy group of companies using various methodologies; (iv) examination of authorized returns on equity fo other investor-owned electric utilities in Canada and the U.S.; and (v) development of a range of results for the proxy groups.  Mr. Coyne also assessed the appropriateness of Newfoundland Power's proposed capital structure based on an examination of the Company's business and financial risks relative to the proxy groups, which included consideration of the	2	,	•
Mr. Coyne's recommendation is based upon analytical tools and data sources normally used for such purposes before regulators in Canada and the U.S. This included: (i) an examination of the legal and regulatory requirements for determining a fair rate of return; (ii) selection of proxy groups with companies comparable to Newfoundland Power with respect to business and financial risks; (iii) estimation of the cost of common equity for the proxy group of companies using various methodologies; (iv) examination of authorized returns on equity fo other investor-owned electric utilities in Canada and the U.S.; and (v) development of a range of results for the proxy groups. <sup>4</sup> Mr. Coyne also assessed the appropriateness of Newfoundland Power's proposed capital structure based on an examination of the Company's business and financial risks relative to the proxy groups, which included consideration of the	3	c)	The proposed increase in return from the current 8.5% to 9.8% is consistent with
Mr. Coyne's recommendation is based upon analytical tools and data sources normally used for such purposes before regulators in Canada and the U.S. This included: (i) an examination of the legal and regulatory requirements for determining a fair rate of return; (ii) selection of proxy groups with companies comparable to Newfoundland Power with respect to business and financial risks; (iii) estimation of the cost of common equity for the proxy group of companies using various methodologies; (iv) examination of authorized returns on equity fo other investor-owned electric utilities in Canada and the U.S.; and (v) development of a range of results for the proxy groups. <sup>4</sup> Mr. Coyne also assessed the appropriateness of Newfoundland Power's proposed capital structure based on an examination of the Company's business and financial risks relative to the proxy groups, which included consideration of the	4		the expert opinion of Mr. James Coyne of Concentric Energy Advisors.
normally used for such purposes before regulators in Canada and the U.S. This included: (i) an examination of the legal and regulatory requirements for determining a fair rate of return; (ii) selection of proxy groups with companies comparable to Newfoundland Power with respect to business and financial risks; (iii) estimation of the cost of common equity for the proxy group of companies using various methodologies; (iv) examination of authorized returns on equity for other investor-owned electric utilities in Canada and the U.S.; and (v) development of a range of results for the proxy groups.   Mr. Coyne also assessed the appropriateness of Newfoundland Power's proposed capital structure based on an examination of the Company's business and financial risks relative to the proxy groups, which included consideration of the	5		
included: (i) an examination of the legal and regulatory requirements for determining a fair rate of return; (ii) selection of proxy groups with companies comparable to Newfoundland Power with respect to business and financial risks; (iii) estimation of the cost of common equity for the proxy group of companies using various methodologies; (iv) examination of authorized returns on equity for the investor-owned electric utilities in Canada and the U.S.; and (v) development of a range of results for the proxy groups.  Mr. Coyne also assessed the appropriateness of Newfoundland Power's proposed capital structure based on an examination of the Company's business and financial risks relative to the proxy groups, which included consideration of the	6		Mr. Coyne's recommendation is based upon analytical tools and data sources
determining a fair rate of return; (ii) selection of proxy groups with companies comparable to Newfoundland Power with respect to business and financial risks; (iii) estimation of the cost of common equity for the proxy group of companies using various methodologies; (iv) examination of authorized returns on equity for other investor-owned electric utilities in Canada and the U.S.; and (v) development of a range of results for the proxy groups.   Mr. Coyne also assessed the appropriateness of Newfoundland Power's proposed capital structure based on an examination of the Company's business and financial risks relative to the proxy groups, which included consideration of the	7		normally used for such purposes before regulators in Canada and the U.S. This
comparable to Newfoundland Power with respect to business and financial risks; (iii) estimation of the cost of common equity for the proxy group of companies using various methodologies; (iv) examination of authorized returns on equity fo other investor-owned electric utilities in Canada and the U.S.; and (v) development of a range of results for the proxy groups. <sup>4</sup> Mr. Coyne also assessed the appropriateness of Newfoundland Power's proposed capital structure based on an examination of the Company's business and financial risks relative to the proxy groups, which included consideration of the	8		included: (i) an examination of the legal and regulatory requirements for
(iii) estimation of the cost of common equity for the proxy group of companies using various methodologies; (iv) examination of authorized returns on equity for other investor-owned electric utilities in Canada and the U.S.; and (v) development of a range of results for the proxy groups. <sup>4</sup> Mr. Coyne also assessed the appropriateness of Newfoundland Power's proposed capital structure based on an examination of the Company's business and financial risks relative to the proxy groups, which included consideration of the	9		determining a fair rate of return; (ii) selection of proxy groups with companies
using various methodologies; (iv) examination of authorized returns on equity fo other investor-owned electric utilities in Canada and the U.S.; and (v) development of a range of results for the proxy groups. <sup>4</sup> Mr. Coyne also assessed the appropriateness of Newfoundland Power's proposed capital structure based on an examination of the Company's business and financial risks relative to the proxy groups, which included consideration of the	10		comparable to Newfoundland Power with respect to business and financial risks;
other investor-owned electric utilities in Canada and the U.S.; and (v) development of a range of results for the proxy groups. <sup>4</sup> Mr. Coyne also assessed the appropriateness of Newfoundland Power's proposed capital structure based on an examination of the Company's business and financial risks relative to the proxy groups, which included consideration of the	11		(iii) estimation of the cost of common equity for the proxy group of companies
development of a range of results for the proxy groups. <sup>4</sup> Mr. Coyne also assessed the appropriateness of Newfoundland Power's proposed capital structure based on an examination of the Company's business and financial risks relative to the proxy groups, which included consideration of the	12		using various methodologies; (iv) examination of authorized returns on equity for
Mr. Coyne also assessed the appropriateness of Newfoundland Power's proposed capital structure based on an examination of the Company's business and financial risks relative to the proxy groups, which included consideration of the	13		other investor-owned electric utilities in Canada and the U.S.; and (v)
Mr. Coyne also assessed the appropriateness of Newfoundland Power's proposed capital structure based on an examination of the Company's business and financial risks relative to the proxy groups, which included consideration of the	14		development of a range of results for the proxy groups. <sup>4</sup>
capital structure based on an examination of the Company's business and financial risks relative to the proxy groups, which included consideration of the	15		
financial risks relative to the proxy groups, which included consideration of the	16		Mr. Coyne also assessed the appropriateness of Newfoundland Power's proposed
	17		capital structure based on an examination of the Company's business and
19 province's economic scenario. <sup>5</sup>	18		financial risks relative to the proxy groups, which included consideration of the
	19		province's economic scenario. <sup>5</sup>

.

See the 2022/2023 General Rate Application, Volume 3, Expert Evidence, Cost of Capital: Mr. James Coyne, page 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Ibid.