Q. **Reference: Volume 1, generally; Volume 2 (Exhibit 4); Volume 3, generally**

Confirm whether any revenue, cost-saving, or supply assumption relating to the Churchill Falls Power Contract’s 2041 expiry, any successor or replacement agreement, or any Memorandum of Understanding or similar instrument with Hydro-Quebec regarding post-2041 Churchill Falls power is embedded, directly or indirectly, in

a) the 2027 Test Year revenue requirement,

b) the rate mitigation plan’s sufficiency analysis through 2030, or

c) any post-2030 rate-path modeling Hydro has performed, whether or not filed in this GRA. Provide a direct confirmation or denial for each of (a), (b), and (c). If any such assumption exists, describe it and its magnitude; if internal analysis exists but is not filed, identify it by name and date and state whether Hydro will produce it. If no such assumption is embedded, confirm that affirmatively and state whether Hydro has performed any internal analysis of the Churchill Falls 2041 expiry’s effect on Island Interconnected System costs or rates that is not reflected in this filing.

A. There are no revenue, cost-savings, or supply assumptions relating to the Churchill Falls Power Contract’s 2041 expiry, any successor or replacement agreement, or any Memorandum of Understanding or similar instrument with Hydro-Québec regarding post-2041 Churchill Falls power embedded, directly or indirectly, in the 2027 Test Year revenue requirement or rate mitigation plan’s sufficiency analysis through 2030. With respect to post-2030 rate path modelling, it is Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro’s opinion that the information requested is not necessary for a satisfactory understanding of the matters to be considered in the 2026 General Rate Application as required by the *Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities Regulations, 1996*.