

1 Q. **Reference: Application**

2 Discuss any other hours-of-use block boundaries and demand-charge levels evaluated by Hydro
3 and CA Energy. As part of the response, discuss why these alternatives were rejected.

4

5

6 A. *This response was provided by Christensen Associates Energy Consulting ("CA Energy").*

7 At Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro's instruction, CA Energy evaluated several block
8 boundaries, from 250 to 400 Hours of Use ("HOU"), and three demand price levels: zero, \$5.50
9 per kW-month (to parallel the Utility rate's demand price), and \$12.00 per kW-month.

10 Regarding the selection of HOU boundary, the design objective was to make it likely that
11 customers would reach block 2 most of the time. As with other block designs, it is difficult to
12 include all customers in all billing months if the range of load factors and seasonality is
13 substantial. This proved to be the case here, as noted in the report (p. 5). Regarding the
14 selection of demand price, a moderate demand price (and a moderate change from the existing
15 rate's demand price) limits the bill impact differences across customers. Please see the
16 discussion of alternative price choice in the report (p. 8).