

1 Q. **Reference: Reference: Schedule G, Volume 1, pages 460, 463; Schedule F, page 250; Schedule**
2 **G, page 359**

3 Restate the classification of Holyrood TGS non-fuel costs under each of the following two
4 alternatives, holding all other inputs unchanged:

5 a) an operating-factor method; and

6 b) an explicit reliability/standby subfunctionalization in which the portion of Holyrood's
7 costs attributable to its reliability/backup role is separately functionalized and classified.

8 For each alternative, provide the restated Schedule F and Schedule G class-level
9 revenue-to-cost coverage tables in the same format as filed, side-by-side with the status
10 quo capacity-factor method, with dollar and coverage-ratio deltas by class.

11
12
13 A. The Holyrood Thermal Generating Station non-fuel costs are currently classified based on the
14 forecast capacity factor resulting in 11.16% of the costs allocated to energy with the balance to
15 demand. The question presented does not contain sufficient information regarding the
16 scenarios presented for Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro to provide a response.