1	Q.	Ref	ference: Application, Capital Programs and Projects, Widen Right of Way (2026–2028) –		
2		Gro	os Morne National Park, page 7		
3 4 5				It is stated "In addition to forced outages, these tree contacts pose an electrical safety hazard to anyone travelling the ROWs, as well as a significant forest fire risk."	
6			a)	Please identify each injury and each forest fire that has occurred as a result of the	
7				narrow right-of-ways on these transmission lines.	
8			b)	Was the original decision to have a narrow ROW the result of a request by Parks Canada	
9				or an independent decision by Hydro?	
10			c)	Has Parks Canada ever expressed concerns to Hydro regarding safety hazards and forest	
11				fire risks associated with the ROW?	
12			d)	Has Parks Canada ever requested Hydro to clear more trees and vegetation along the	
13				ROW and is this project proposal due to a request from Parks Canada?	
14			e)	Who travels along the ROW? Is it open to the general public?	
15			f)	To the extent that widening of the ROW creates safety benefits to those traveling along	
16				the ROW and reduces the risk of forest fire, has Parks Canada offered a contribution to	
17				the work?	
18			g)	To the extent that the safety and forest fire risks are valid, is not the onus on Parks	
19				Canada to do this work? After all, the issue appears to be Parks Canada trees making	
20				contact with Hydro's power lines, not Hydro's power lines falling on trees.	
21					
22					
23	A.	a)	No injuries or forest fires have occurred to date; however, trees that have caused outages		
24			due	e to contacting the lines have been observed to be scorched, and may have caused	
25			wil	dfires under different fire hazard index conditions.	

Filed: 2025-September-26

1 b) The original decision to have a narrow right of way ("ROW") was the result of a request by 2 Parks Canada. 3 c) Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro ("Hydro") brought the concern to Parks Canada 4 regarding safety hazards and forest fire risks associated with the ROW. Upon 5 communicating these risks to Parks Canada, they have worked closely with Hydro on the 6 process to have the ROWs widened. 7 d) There has been no request from Parks Canada to clear more trees and vegetation along the 8 ROWs. This project proposal is not due to a request from Parks Canada. 9 e) The ROWs in the park are utilized by Hydro to access the lines for maintenance and repair. 10 However, the ROWs are open to the public, are crossed by numerous hiking trails, and are also accessed by Parks Canada staff. 11 12 f) Hydro is responsible for ensuring that its facilities are reasonably safe. As the easement 13 holder, Hydro is responsible for ROW maintenance, liability, and access, not Parks Canada. This is consistent with generally accepted utility practice. As such, Parks Canada would not 14 contribute to the cost of this project. 15 16 g) Please refer to part f) of this response.