

1 Q. **Reference: Bay d’Espoir Unit 7 Additional Analysis Report, September 23, 2025, page 4, lines**
2 **3-4.**

3 “As neither Unit 7 nor Unit 8 provides any additional energy to the Bay d’Espoir system, a cost
4 per MWh cannot be produced.”

5 Explain how a refurbished Unit 7 runner with a more efficient design would not provide any
6 additional energy to the Bay d’Espoir system.

7
8
9 A. Refurbishment of Bay d’Espoir Unit 7 includes the replacement of the runner. The new runner
10 will be more efficient and will result in more energy being produced from the Bay d’Espoir
11 system. The additional energy could amount to approximately 1% of Unit 7’s generation, which
12 can be accommodated with the existing capacity of 154 MW. Installing a runner with 174 MW of
13 capacity will not increase energy production over the present refurbishment plans. The uprated
14 runner efficiency will not be higher than a new 154 MW runner, and the system’s water
15 resources can be fully utilized with the existing generation capacity. Therefore, a cost per MWh
16 cannot be produced as the uprated runner will not produce any more energy than a new
17 154 MW runner.

18 As noted in the Bay d’Espoir Unit 7 Additional Analysis Report,¹ the uprated design is less
19 efficient in the normal operating zone. This will most likely result in slightly lower energy
20 generation for the uprated runner than the planned 154 MW replacement.

¹ “Bay d’Espoir Unit 7 Additional Analysis Report,” Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro, rev. September 23, 2025 (originally filed September 22, 2025, sec. 2.3, p. 7/1–5).