

NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

120 Torbay Road, P.O. Box 21040, St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada, A1A 5B2

E-mail: TraceyPennell@n1h.nl.ca

2016-06-16

Ms. Tracey Pennell Senior Counsel Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro P.O. Box 12400 St. John's, NL A1B 4K7

Dear Ms. Pennell:

Re: Investigation and Hearing into Supply Issues and Power Outages on the Island Interconnected System – Phase Two - Requests for Information PUB-NLH-595 to PUB-NLH-614 (Energy Supply Risk Assessment Report) and PUB-NLH-615 to PUB-NLH-623 (Teshmont Report)

Enclosed are Requests for Information (RFIs) PUB-NLH-595 to PUB-NLH-614 in relation to Energy Supply Risk Assessment Report and PUB-NLH-615 to PUB-NLH-623 in relation to the Teshmont Report regarding the above-noted matter. The deadline for Responses to these RFIs will be set at a later time.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact the Board's Legal Counsel, Ms. Jacqui Glynn, by email, jglynn@pub.nl.ca or telephone (709) 726-6781.

Yours truly,

aakean

Assistant Board Secretary

cpj

Enclosure

ecc. <u>Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro</u> NLH Regulatory, E-mail: NLHRegulatory@nlh.nl.ca Mr. Geoff Young, E-mail: GYoung@nlh.nl.ca <u>Consumer Advocate</u> Mr. Thomas Johnson, QC, E-mail: tjohnson@odeaearle.ca Ms. Colleen Lacey, E-mail: clacey@odeaearle.ca Mr. Raman Balakrishnan, E-mail: rbelakrishnan@odeaearl.ca <u>Island Industrial Customer Group</u> Mr. Paul Coxworthy, E-mail: pcoxworthy@stewartmckelvey.com Mr. Dean Porter, E-mail: dporter@poolealthouse.ca <u>Vale Newfoundland and Labrador Limited</u>

Mr. Denis Fleming, E-mail: dfleming@coxandpalmer.com

Newfoundland Power Inc. Mr. Gerard Hayes, E-mail: ghayes@newfoundlandpower.com Mr. Ian Kelly, QC, E-mail: ikelly@curtisdawe.com Grand Rivertkeeper® Labrador Inc. Ms. Roberta Frampton Benefiel, E-Mail: rebnfl@gmail.com Mr. Charles O'Brien, E-mail: B-mail: bluegreenlaw@gmail.com Mr. Philip Raphals, E-mail: Philip@centrehelios.org Mr. Danny Dumaresque, E-mail: danny.liberal@gmail.comMr. Mr. William Kennedy, E-mail: wkennedy@kennedylawoffice.ca

1 IN THE MATTER OF

- 2 The Electrical Power Control Act, 1994,
- 3 SNL 1994, Chapter E-5.1 (the "EPCA")
- 4 and the *Public Utilities Act*, RSNL 1990,
- 5 Chapter P-47 (the "Act"), as amended; and
- 6
- 7 IN THE MATTER OF the Board's Investigation
- 8 and Hearing into Supply Issues and Power Outages
- 9 on the Island Interconnected System.

PUBLIC UTILITIES BOARD REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION

PUB-NLH-595 to PUB-NLH-623

Issued: June 16, 2016

1 2

3

4

5

13

19

23

35

38

44

2015–2019 Energy Supply Risk Assessment Report

- PUB-NLH-595 Why did Hydro use Expected Unserved Energy instead of Hydro's previously standard measures (LOLH and % reserves available) in this report? 6
- 7 PUB-NLH-596 Please show the corresponding MW reserves for each analysis shown in 8 the report expressed in absolute MW and in %. 9
- 10 PUB-NLH-597 Please provide the status of discussions on additional interruptible load, the potential added capacity Hydro believes may be feasible, and the 11 timetable for firming up customer agreements. 12
- 14 PUB-NLH-598 Please refer to Table 2 on page 13 of the Energy Supply Risk Assessment 15 Report. Hydro's forecast of the peak for winter 2016/17 is reduced substantially from its June 2015 forecast (1,789 MW) to its April 2016 16 "base case" (1,733 MW). Please explain in detail the reasons for this 56 17 MW drop in the forecast. 18
- 20PUB-NLH-599 When will an updated forecast be available, as the one Hydro gave 21 regarding the implications of the provincial economic outlook was expressed as tentative? 22
- 24 PUB-NLH-600 Please provide the specific reasons for Hydro's decision to reduce the 25 ratings of the Holyrood units, including all associated studies and reports. In the response provide the "analysis and recommendations from Hydro's 26 27 Asset Management team" relating to Holyrood de-rates, as noted on page 18, line 19 of the Energy Supply Risk Assessment Report, the analysis 28 29 referred to in the response to PUB-NLH-009, lines 7 to 9 in the 30 Replacement of the Lower Reheater Boiler Tubes Application and any reports or analysis from the AMEC NSS completed in 2016 as also 31 32 referred to in the response to PUB-NLH-009. Also include any of the 33 external reports or analysis including the results of any tests of failed boiler tubes in the last five years. 34
- 36 PUB-NLH-601 Please provide, for each Holyrood unit for each year 1995-2015, the 37 number of boiler tube failures and the operating hours.
- 39 PUB-NLH-602 Hydro is making significant investment in the Holyrood boilers this year, 40 yet the Energy Supply Assessment Report includes an assumption of continuing de-rating following repairs. Please explain the basis for the 41 continued de-rating and if the planned investments are expected to solve 42 43 this issue.
- 45 PUB-NLH-603 Please explain why the Holyrood de-rates extend to emergency 46 situations.

PUB-NLH-604 Hydro previously experienced boiler complications due to fuel quality, 1 2 including high sulphur and vanadium. Please describe the connection, if 3 any, between past fuel quality issues and present boiler issues. 4 5 PUB-NLH-605 In 2011, AMEC concluded that "there is no reason why the [Holyrood] 6 plant cannot continue to generate electricity reliably to the year 2020". 7 AMEC added "There are several pre-requisites to this, including continued and enhanced inspection and maintenance programs, planned 8 major equipment refurbishment such as generator stator and rotor 9 rewinds, controls and alarms upgrades, and switchgear and breaker 10 refurbishments and replacements." Please explain how Hydro fulfilled 11 the "pre-requisites" identified by AMEC. 12 13 14 PUB-NLH-606 Further to PUB-NLH-605, did AMEC make any significant 15 recommendations concerning the boilers at Holyrood in the 2011 report or any subsequent report? If yes, what were the recommendations? 16 17 18 PUB-NLH-607 On page 1, line 14 of the Energy Supply Risk Assessment Report, Hydro states that one intent of the report is to "analyze the reliability of Hydro's 19 20 existing thermal generating assets". While the report provides results for 21 different assumptions regarding thermal unit reliability, it does not provide any analyses, such as estimates of what the reliability of the Holyrood 22 units is expected to be. Please provide Hydro's best estimate of DAFOR 23 for Holyrood for the period 2016 to 2021 and provide the analyses 24 25 supporting the estimate, 26 27 PUB-NLH-608 Please provide Hydro's estimate of UFOP for Hardwoods and Stephenville gas turbines used in the Energy Supply Risk Assessment 28 29 Report for the period 2016 - 2021. 30 31 PUB-NLH-609 When did Hydro first determine that TL 267 can play a substantial role in 32 mitigating supply risk? 33 34 PUB-NLH-610 Please explain why plans for TL 267 including the request for approval to 35 the Board, did not consider supply risk mitigation; why the line was not considered in prior analyses of supply risk; and why the target date for the 36 project was tied to the Labrador Island Link in-service date and not supply 37 38 risk mitigation. 39 40 PUB-NLH-611 Please describe the specific steps Hydro is taking to advance the in-service 41 date for TL 267 and the likelihood that the one-year advancement can be 42 achieved. 43 44 PUB-NLH-612 On page 9, line 13 of the Energy Supply Risk Assessment Report, Hydro discusses refined protocols and rigorous guidelines "for managing the 45 electric system and adverse events." Please provide copies of such 46

1 guidelines and the dates upon which they became, or will become, 2 effective. 3 4 PUB-NLH-613 In seeking to mitigate pre-Muskrat Falls supply risks, please describe the 5 extent to which Hydro has considered imports over the Maritime Link and 6 use of recall power over the Labrador Island Link. In the response please 7 explain any efforts taken or planned by Hydro to firm up such potential 8 sources. 9 10 PUB-NLH-614 Please provide additional sensitivities until 2021 with (1) the CTs at Hardwoods and Stephenville remaining at half capacity; (2) imports over 11 12 Maritime Link and Labrador Island Link; and (3) Muskrat Falls delayed by an additional year. 13 14 15 **Teshmont Report** 16 17 PUB-NLH-615 The Teshmont report seems to have been finalized in late 2014, with only 18 minor changes since. Is data used by Teshmont consistent with Hdyro's 19 current assumptions? If not, please explain the differences. 20 21 PUB-NLH-616 Hydro states in Section 5.0 that "Teshmont's analysis provides validation 22 of Hydro's assumed HVdc reliability and availability parameters". 23 However, comparing the original information provided in the response to 24 PUB-NLH-212, Attachment 2, Table 3-2 (bipole outages), and the data 25 used by Teshmont, it can be seen that Teshmont used the original data, 26 rather than the subsequent data that was provided in GRK-NLH-060, 27 Revision 1. The most significant difference between the two sets of data is 28 the overall bipole outage rate, which has been reduced from 0.7078/year to 29 0.3278/year. Please clarify. 30 31 PUB-NLH-617 Teshmont carried out studies for the network and generation immediately 32 before and after the introduction of Labrador Island Link ("LIL") and 33 Maritime Link ("ML") and assuming the shutdown of Holyrood after their 34 introduction. The study assumed peak load conditions. The reserve in the 35 Island Interconnected System for the first study was a total of 195MW and 36 for the second study 418.8 MW (see Table 23). Table 23 shows that of the 37 418.8MW reserve, 373 MW would be at zero output. The Teshmont study 38 states that in the event of a bipole trip of LIL, with ML in service and able 39 to provide 300 MW support to the Island Interconnected system, the Expected Unserved Energy ("EUE") would be 200kWh. If imports from 40 41 ML are not available, then the EUE would increase to 2.72GWh; i.e. 42 10,000 times higher. How quickly could the reserve generation at zero output be brought on-line and up to rated output? 43 44 45 **PUB-NLH-618** Further to PUB-NLH-617, please confirm that Hydro would expect that underfrequency load shedding would operate to protect the Island 46

	Interconnected system. If this is confirmed, please estimate the worst case load that would be shed.
PUB-NLH-619	Further to PUB-NLH-617, please describe the actions that the Island Interconnected system operator has to take in order to re-instate the loads which have been shed including how long would these actions take and whether the re-instatement of the loads would wait until all generation has been brought on line or would loads be connected gradually as
	generation becomes available.
PUB-NLH-620	Further to PUB-NLH-617, Hydro does not have an agreement in place with Nova Scotia and New Brunswick in respect of the provision of emergency power support. However, assuming that 157 MW was being delivered to Nova Scotia before the bipole outage, and that 300 MW could be made available for Island Interconnected system, please provide an estimate of the time that a responsible network operator would require to re-organise the generation and network settings, before the Maritime Link could start to ramp up power delivery to the Island Interconnected system.
PUB-NLH-621	Further to PUB-NLH-620, please also provide an answer to question if there were no exports to Nova Scotia at the time of the bipole fault. Please also answer the question for the case in which there were 300 MW going to Nova Scotia at the time of the fault.
PUB-NLH-622	Further to PUB-NLH-617, does Hydro agree with Teshmont's estimate of the Expected Unserved Energy value?
PUB-NLH-623	Further to PUB-NLH-617, the post HVDC study was done for 2018, and Hydro is forecasting that the Island Interconnected system load will increase over the next years. According to the responses to PUB-NLH-542 and PUB-NLH-543, Hydro is not expecting to add any additional generation until 2024, unless it is decided not to rely on power imports from Maritime Link to cover peak loads in the event of a bipole outage, in which case power generation would be added when the Holyrood power generation plant is retired. Please describe the general change to Teshmont's results if the study had been carried out immediately before the next planned addition of generation.
	PUB-NLH-620 PUB-NLH-621 PUB-NLH-622 PUB-NLH-623

۰,

DATED at St. John's, Newfoundland this 16th day of June 2016.

BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

arakean)___ Per Sara Kean

Assistant Board Secretary