Page 1 of 1

| 1 | Q. | In the event that the suit launched by Hydro-Quebec concerning the interpretation |
|---|----|---|
| 2 | | of the Churchill Falls Contract is subject to appeals from one side or the other, and |
| 3 | | in the event that the courts determine that, until the matter is finally resolved the |
| 4 | | status quo should prevail, please explain how NLH would replace the power and |
| 5 | | energy guaranteed it under the MFPPA during the appeal period. |

6

7 8

A. Please see Hydro's response to GRK-NLH-025.