

December 18, 2013

Ms. G. Cheryl Blundon Board of Commissioners of Public Utilities 120 Torbay Road, P.O. Box 12040 St. John's, NL A1A 5B2

Dear Ms. Blundon:

Re: Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro's 2013 General Rate Application

Please find enclosed the original and twelve (12) copies of the Consumer Advocate's Requests for Information numbered CA-NLH-221 to CA-NLH-271 in relation to the above noted Application.

A copy of the letter, together with enclosures, has been forwarded directly to the parties listed below.

If you have any questions regarding the filing, please contact the undersigned at your convenience.

Yours very truly,

THOMAS JOHNSON

TJ/cel Encl.

cc:

Newfoundland & Labrador Hydro

P.O. Box 12400 500 Columbus Drive St. John's, NL A1B 4K7

Attention: Geoffrey P. Young, Senior Legal Counsel

Newfoundland Power P.O. Box 8910 55 Kenmount Road St. John's, NL A1B 3P6

Attention: Gerard Hayes, Senior Legal Counsel

Vale Newfoundland and Labrador Limited c/o Cox & Palmer
Suite 1000, Scotia Centre
235 Water Street
St. John's, NL A1C 1B6
Attention: Thomas J. O'Reilly, Q.C.

Corner Brook Pulp & Paper Limited, c/o Stewart McKelvey Cabot Place, 100 New Gower Street P.O. Box 5038 St. John's, NL A1C 5V3 Attention: Paul Coxworthy

Miller & Hearn
PO Box 129
450 Avalon Drive
Labrador City, NL A2V 2K3
Attention: Ed Hearn, Q.C.

Olthuis, Kleer, Townshend LLP 229 College Street Suite 312 Toronto, ON M5T 1R4

Attention: Nancy Kleer

House of Commons Confederation Building, Room 682 Ottawa, ON K1A 0A6 Attention: Yvonne Jones, MP Labrador/Christian von Donat

IN THE MATTER OF

the *Public Utilities Act*, R.S.N. 1990, Chapter P-47 (the "Act");

AND

IN THE MATTER OF

A General Rate Application (the "Application") by Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro for approvals of, under Section 70 of the Act, changes in the rates to be charged for the supply of power and energy to Newfoundland Power, Rural Customers and Industrial Customers; and under Section 71 of the Act, changes in the Rules and Regulations applicable to the supply of electricity to Rural Customers.

CONSUMER ADVOCATE REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION CA-NLH-221 to CA-NLH-271

Issued: December 18, 2013

1		
2	CA-NLH-221	(Re: Response to CA-NLH-1) Does this list also include directives
3		arising from Parties' Agreements? If not, please add them to the
4		list.
5		
6	CA-NLH-222	(Re: Response to CA-NLH-163) Please identify all programs along
7		with their costs since 2009 that Hydro has implemented, or
8		proposes for implementation, to improve, as opposed to maintain,
9		reliability for customers. Please identify program costs and
10		expected reliability improvement. In Hydro's opinion, do the 8%
11		of customers who have indicated a willingness to pay for reliability
12		improvements justify rate increases related to reliability
13		improvements?
14	CA NI II 222	(Day Dagmana to CA NI II 160) Places mayide an analysis of the
15	CA-NLH-223	(Re: Response to CA-NLH-168) Please provide an analysis of the
16		Happy Valley – Goose Bay demand/supply situation currently, during Muskrat Falls construction, and following Muskrat Falls
17		construction showing that the additional 10.5 MW transfer
18 19		capability afforded by facilities added for Muskrat Falls
20		construction is of value to customers.
21		construction is of value to customers.
22	CA-NLH-224	(Re: Response to CA-NLH-176) Can one conclude from this
23	CA-NLII-224	response that there has been two occasions in recent years when
24		NP's Curtailable Load was required for system reasons, and on
25		both occasions, it was not available? What steps has Hydro taken
26		to remedy this situation? What steps might be taken to remedy this
27		situation?
28		
29	CA-NLH-225	(Re: Response to CA-NLH-159) The response indicates that if the
30	***************************************	RSP were abandoned, Lummus Consultants would revise the
31		energy component of the rates for both NP and the IC. For NP this
		O,

1		would involve the consideration of moving return associated with
2		customer costs and the rural deficit into the first block. For the IC
3		an inclining block energy rate would be considered where the tail
4		block is reflective of only fuel oil costs. Please file rates derived on
5		this basis and provide a discussion of the pros and cons of such
6		rate designs.
7		
8	CA-NLH-226	(Re: Response to PUB-NLH-333) The response relates to
9		treatment of NP generation and curtailable load from an operating
10		perspective. Please address the question from a cost of service
11		perspective.
12		
13	CA-NLH-227	(Re: Response to PUB-NLH-333) The response identifies a
14		number of operational shortcomings relating to curtailable load.
15		Please identify operational shortcomings relating to NP generation;
16		i.e., availability, ramp rates, etc.
17		
18	CA-NLH-228	(Re: Response to PUB-NLH-113) It is understood that the rural
19		deficit is currently allocated on the basis of the methodology
20		proposed by the Board's consultant in the 1993 review included as
21		Attachment 1 to PUB-NLH-113. Please provide a table comparing
22		current average rates (in cents/kWh), proposed average rates (in
23		cents/kWh) and revenue to cost ratios for NP and Labrador
24		Interconnected customers assuming the rural deficit is allocated as
25		follows: 1) as proposed in the current GRA, 2) on the basis of
26		revenue requirement as proposed by Hydro and the Towns during
27		the 1993 review, and 3) on the basis of 50% revenue requirement
28		and 50% energy as proposed by NP during the 1993 review.
29		
30	CA-NLH-229	(Re: Capital Assets - Table 3.6 Page 3.22 - CA-NLH-116
31		Grant Thornton 2012 Annual Financial Review)

1 Page 67 & 68 of the Grant Thornton 2012 Annual Financial 2 Review identify two 2012 capital projects that have not been approved by the PUB for inclusion in rate base. Please discuss if 3 4 these two projects have been included in the 2012 capital expenditures and accumulated depreciation and therefore included 5 6 in the opening balances for capital assets. 7 8 CA-NLH-230 (Re: Deferred Charges - Table 3.9 Page 3.30) 9 Hydro includes in the CDM deferred charges an amortization amount for CDM of \$0.2. Please provide an explanation and 10 detailed calculation for this amount and confirm if the related 11 depreciation amount is included in the 2013 operating expenses. 12 13 Deferred Charges - V-NLH-016) (Re: 14 CA-NLH-231 Hydro states in response to the above referenced RFI with respect 15 to GRA Costs that "As the costs of the hearing, including any cost 16 awards, will not by finalized prior to this Decision and Order, 17 Hydro intends to propose that any differences from the proposed 18 \$1 million will be adjusted in the final deferral amount." Please 19 discuss how this will be adjusted and what would be the impact on 20 21 future reporting. 22 (Re: Deferred Charges - Table 3.9 Page 3.30) 23 CA-NLH-232 Hydro includes in deferred charges foreign exchange and GRA 24 costs which are being recovered by an annualized amortization 25 amount included in operating expense. Hydro also includes CDM 26 27 costs which are being recovered by an amortization amount and a 28 customer specific rate rider. The foreign exchange and GRA costs could reasonably be assumed to be common to all customers from 29 30 a cost causality perspective. However the CDM costs are more customer specific related costs. Please discuss if Hydro believes 31

1		this to be fair to all customers.
2		
3	CA-NLH-233	The position description of Vice-President, Newfoundland and
4		Labrador Hydro which lists final management as a key
5		responsibility area (see PUB-NLH-229, Attachment 1, p. 6 of 19)
6		states, "Provides leadership throughout NLH to maintain a high
7		cost control environment to optimize cost for delivery of electricity
8		related services to customers." Please describe in detail how
9		Hydro measures whether it is achieving and maintaining a high
10		cost control environment and as part of the reply, please
11		specifically identify what targets Hydro has set for itself to control
12		costs over the past 5 years and how Hydro's performance
13		compares to the same.
14		
15	CA-NLH-234	In PUB-NLH-028, Hydro was asked inter alia to state the
16		cumulative increase given to non-union positions over the period
17		2007 to 2012. This question was not answered. Please provide the
18		reply.
19		
20	CA-NLH-235	How does the cumulative increase given to Hydro's non-union
21		positions over the period 2007 to 2012 compare to increases over
22		that period for non-union employees of other Atlantic Canada
23		Electric Utilities?
24		
25	CA-NLH-236	What consideration has Hydro given to the issue of closing entry
26		for new employees to a defined benefit pension plan as
27		Newfoundland Power did several years ago?
28		
29	CA-NLH-237	Has Hydro considered what cost savings could be achieved for its
30		customers of moving to a defined contribution pension for new
31		hires? If so, please provide the results of that consideration. If not,

1		please explain why this has not been considered.
2		
3	CA-NLH-238	What steps would Hydro need to take to close entry to its defined
4		benefit pension plan to new hires?
5		
6	CA-NLH-239	What is Hydro's policy as regards retiring allowances for its
7		employees? As part of the reply, please explain how one becomes
8		eligible to receive a retiring allowance and the extent of the
9		benefit.
10		
11	CA-NLH-240	Is the payment of retirement allowances a term of Hydro's
12		collective agreement? If so, provide the excerpts for the collective
13		agreement as regards the same.
14		
15	CA-NLH-241	Is the retirement allowance benefit of a term of employment of
16		non-unionized employees? If so, please provide the presently
17		worded term of employment in Hydro's employment contracts.
18		
19	CA-NLH-242	Has Hydro made itself aware of the measures that have been
20		instituted in recent years in other Canadian jurisdictions to reform
21		retirement allowances?
22		
23	CA-NLH-243	Does Hydro intend to introduce changes to its retirement
24		allowance policy? As part of this reply, please detail the steps that
25		would be necessary for Hydro to end the payment of retirement
26		allowances.
27		
28	CA-NLH-244	For each of union and non-union employees please state the
29		amounts paid (or forecast) by way of retirement allowances for
30		2010 to 2014 (f).
31		

1	CA-NLH-245	Please confirm that in 2011 the Province of New Brunswick
2		discontinued the retirement allowance for management and non-
3		union employees hired on or after April 1, 2011. Please also
4		confirm that this discontinuance applied to employees of New
5		Brunswick Power.
6		
7	CA-NLH-246	Please confirm that on January 15, 2013 the Government of New
8		Brunswick announced that effective April 1, 2013 all management
9		and non-union employees with a continuous service date before
10		April 1, 2011 would be provided the option of:
11		a. receiving an immediate cash payout of retirement
12		allowance based on completed years of service on March 31, 2013
13		and salary at the time of retirement, or
14		b. deferring the payment of retirement allowance until
15		retirement based on completed years of service on March 31, 2013
16		and salary at the time of retirement. Please also confirm that the
17		foregoing changes apply to employees of New Brunswick Power.
18		
19	CA-NLH-247	Please confirm that Government of Canada's Economic Action
20		Plan 2012 tabled in the House of Commons on March 29, 2012 by
21		Minister James M. Flaherty, Minister of Finance stated, "The
22		Government is also taking specific action to bring federal public
23		service compensation in line with that of other public and private
24		sector employees. This includes eliminating the accumulation of
25		severance benefits for voluntary resignation and retirement, which
26		to date has been eliminated for about 230,000 unionized and non-
27		unionized federal government employees, including members of
28		the Royal Canadian Mounted Police, the Canadian Forces and all
29		executives in the core public administration."
30		
31	CA-NLH-248	In reply to PUB-NLH-302, Hydro states that for positions below

1 2		executive, Hydro sets its comparator group to be the Atlantic Canada Electric Utilities. Please provide the current retirement
3		allowance policies of each of the utilities in this comparator group.
4		
5	CA-NLH-249	Please provide the current policy for each of the utilities in
6		Hydro's comparator group (i.e. Atlantic Canada Electric Utilities)
7		as regards the type of pension plan (i.e. defined benefit vs. defined
8		contribution) open to new employees.
9		
10	CA-NLH-250	How many linespersons, industrial electricians, millwrights and
11		technologists have left Hydro other than by reason of retirement or
12		death in each of the years 2008 to date?
13		
14	CA-NLH-251	Please provide the number, title and location of positions which
15		Hydro has publicly advertised over each of the years 2010 to 2013
16		as well as the amount of time elapsed from advertisement to the
17		filling of the positions together with the number of qualified
18		applications received for each position.
19	CA NILLI 252	Diago marido the number of qualified applications Hydro
20	CA-NLH-252	Please provide the number of qualified applications Hydro currently has on file from persons seeking employment with
2122		Hydro. Please provide the numbers by position sought.
23		Trydro. Trease provide the numbers by position sought.
24	CA-NLH-253	How many new hires is Hydro forecast to make over each of 2014,
25	CH INDII 233	2015, 2016 and 2017?
26		
27	CA-NLH-254	By 2017, what proportion of Hydro's workforce will have less than
28		10 years of service?
29		•
30	CA-NLH-255	In reply to PUB-NLH-038 Hydro states that in 2013 it (in
31		conjunction with Nalcor) engaged Morneau Shepell to conduct a

1		Benefits Market Study. Hydro did not provide the report on the
2		basis that it is said to contain confidential and commercially
3		sensitive comparisons between benefit providers. Will Hydro
4		provide a redacted version?
5		
6	CA-NLH-256	Further to the above question in CA-NLH-255, did Morneau
7		Shepell place any conditions upon its disclosure in a regulatory
8		setting?
9		
10	CA-NLH-257	Please provide a copy of the letter of engagement or contract with
11		Morneau Shepell.
12		
13	CA-NLH-258	Please provide a copy of Morneau Shepell's invoice(s) in relation
14		to its work in relation to the Benefits Market Study.
15		
16	CA-NLH-259	In reply to NP-NLH-154, Hydro states that the impact of using the
17		median (i.e. 4,590 GWh) of hydraulic probability distribution
18		instead of the mean (i.e. 4,533 GWh) (and assuming that the 2013
19		Test Year No. 6 fuel consumption price is used in each scenario)
20		would be to reduce the revenue requirement by \$9,261,000. In
21		Hydro's assessment would the use of the median of the hydraulic
22		probability distribution be a reasonable approach or equally as
23		reasonable as using the mean approach? If not, please explain. If
24		so, please explain.
25		
26	CA-NLH-260	In reply to PUB-NLH-302, Hydro states inter alia that the
27		comparator group for Non-Union Positions below Executive is the
28		median of the Atlantic Canada Utilities. Why does Hydro compare
29		this group to Atlantic Canadian Utilities and not the broader
30		industry in Atlantic Canada or Canada?
31		

1	CA-NLH-261	Further to PUB-NLH-302, does Hydro have written advice from its
2		compensation consultants that addresses Hydro's selection of its
3		comparator groups for 1) Union Positions 2) Non-Union Positions
4		Below Executive 3) Executive? Please provide all such advice
5		received over the past 5 years.
6		
7	CA-NLH-262	In reply to CA-NLH-214, Hydro stated that as of November 1,
8		2013, the vacancy rate was 51.8 FTEs, whereas Hydro had
9		forecasted 40 vacancies for 2013. What impact will the increased
10		vacancy rate have on Hydro's test year revenue requirement?
11		
12	CA-NLH-263	In reply to NP-NLH-28, Hydro states that it is not proposing to
13		make any adjustments to the 2013 test year to ensure that the rates
14		established for 2014 reflect the costs to provide service in 2014.
15		Does a basis exist in regulatory or rate making principle for not
16		making an adjustment to the test year to ensure that rates
17		established for 2014 reflect the costs to provide service in 2014?
18		Please explain.
19		
20	CA-NLH-264	Please explain how the use of a 2013 test year without making any
21		adjustments to the 2013 test year to ensure that the rates
22		established for 2014 reflect the costs to provide service in 2014 is
23		consistent with the power policy expressed in S. 3(a) (i) and (ii) of
24		the Electrical Power Control Act, 1994 as amended.
25		
26	CA-NLH-265	In reply to PUB-NLH-029, Hydro produced a Memo from Kenneth
27		Yung of Mercer to Hydro dated 14 November, 2013 which states
28		that Mercer is comfortable with NLH/Nalcor releasing the
29		following:
30		• Mutual Non-Disclosure Agreement dated 08 December
31		2010

1		• Engagement Letter Agreement dated 24 February 2011
2		• Mercer invoices covering the period from 01 November
3		2010 through 31 March 2011.
4		Please provide the same.
5		
6	CA-NLH-266	In the above reply, Mercer's Memo of 14 November 2013 states
7		that it is not comfortable with the release of any of Mercer's
8		reports, correspondences or related work. Would Mercer please
9		confirm that in other cases when its client is a regulated entity that
10		such material has been provided to the regulator and proper parties,
11		and describe what, if any, conditions have been placed upon its
12		being made available.
13		
14	CA-NLH-267	Would Hydro please confirm that the material contained in
15		Mercer's reports, including drafts and final versions are relevant to
16		an examination of Hydro's labour costs in this proceeding.
17		
18	CA-NLH-268	In reply to PUB-NLH-029, Hydro states that it participates in
19		annual compensation planning surveys (p. 1 of 2, line 8). Please
20		state which annual compensation planning surveys that Hydro
21		participates in and please provide a copy of Hydro's completed
22		survey(s) over each of the years 2007 to and including 2013.
23		
24	CA-NLH-269	In reply to PUB-NLH-029, Hydro states that it also participates "in
25		comprehensive or specialized surveys as it deems necessary due to
26		challenges or increasing pressure arising in the labour market."
27		Please provide a copy of Hydro's completed surveys over each of
28		the years 2007 to and including 2013.
29		
30	CA-NLH-270	(Re: Response to PUB-NLH-8) In its December 2, 2013 letter, the
31		Department of Natural Resources indicates that the transfer of the

1		Exploits generation facilities to Hydro is to take place by June
2		2014. What is the status of the negotiations with the Ministry and
3		what impact is the asset transfer expected to have on Hydro's cost
4		of power and revenue requirement relative to the assumptions
5		included in the GRA; i.e., purchase price of 4 cents/kWh?
6		
7	CA-NLH-271	(Re: Response to IR-PUB-NLH-43) Using the formulas shown on
8		pages 9 and 11 of the Attachment, please show the calculation of
9		interim rates for the period September 1, 2015 to August 31, 2015
10		for both the ICs and Teck. Base the calculation on the rates
11		proposed in the GRA and the most up-to-date assumptions and
12		forecasts.
13		
14		
15		
16	Dated at St. John's	in the Province of Newfoundland and Labrador, this 18th day of
17	December, 2013.	
18		
19		
20		
21		Leg (
22		Thomas Johnson
23		Consumer Advocate
24		323 Duckworth Street
25		St. John's, NL A1C 5X4
26		Telephone: (709) 726-3524
27		Facsimile: (709) 726-9600
28		Email: tjohnson@odeaearle.ca
29		
30		