

1 Q. RE: Grant Thornton Financial Consultants Report, page 16. Grant Thornton  
2 indicates that Scotiabank has calculated the benefits of a Debt Guarantee as  
3 being 35.6 bps to 47.8 bps on long-term debt. The fee charged to Hydro for  
4 this guarantee, for outstanding debt scheduled to mature after 10 years, is 50  
5 bps, which exceeds the measured benefit. Grant Thornton indicates that, in  
6 contrast, the fee charged to guarantee Hydro short-term debt provides a  
7 benefit to Hydro, based on a “cost savings split” of between 79/21 to 76/24 for  
8 government/ratepayers.  
9

10 Assuming Scotiabank’s calculation of the benefit at 35.6 bps to 47.8 bps  
11 on long-term is accurate, please provide a calculation of the Debt  
12 Guarantee Fee that would apply if the benefit of the guarantee in  
13 respect of long-term debt was similarly shared within the range of  
14 79/21 to 76/24 government/ratepayers. Please also provide a dollar  
15 value impact on the amounts payable to government as a result of  
16 adopting this approach. How much would Hydro’s revenue  
17 requirements be affected?  
18  
19

20 A. Assuming Scotiabank’s calculation of the benefit on long-term debt is accurate and  
21 the appropriate split of the benefit is 79/21 to 76/24 government/ratepayers, then  
22 the Debt Guarantee Fee would range from 27.1 bps (i.e., 35.6 bps x 0.76) to 37.6  
23 bps (i.e., 47.8 bps x 79%).  
24

25 It is not Grant Thornton’s opinion that the appropriate range for the Debt Guarantee  
26 Fee on long-term debt is between 27.1 bps to 37.6 bps. Further examination is  
27 required to determine an appropriate methodology to apportion the benefit of the  
28 guarantee between Hydro and the Province on both short-term and long term debt.