

1 Q. Please provide a COS study for 2015 consistent with the IIC argument in the 2014  
2 NP Curtailable Loads proceeding leading to Board Order No. P.U.47 (2014) (i.e., that  
3 NP curtailable load should not receive a credit via the COS study and that all loads  
4 should be assumed to be not interrupted for the purposes of the peak loads in the  
5 COS) and confirm whether, assuming this approach were adopted (and Hydro were  
6 to make compensation payments to NP for their curtailable load), such payments  
7 should also be included in the COS as a demand-related cost?

8

9

10 A. The estimated revenue requirement allocation impact of not applying a credit for  
11 Newfoundland Power curtailable load and therefore assuming that all loads are not  
12 interrupted for the purpose of the peak loads is presented in Table 1. Hydro agrees  
13 that if this approach is adopted, any payments to Newfoundland Power to offset  
14 their cost of offering a curtailable rate should also be included in the COS as a  
15 generation demand-related cost.

1

Table 1

**Island Interconnected System  
Total Allocated Revenue Requirement**

	<b>As Filed</b>	<b>As Requested</b>	<b>Diff</b>
Newfoundland Power	463,656,437	463,817,283	160,846
Industrial - Firm	42,621,127	42,537,687	(83,440)
Industrial - Non-Firm	-	-	-
<b>Rural</b>			-
1.1 Domestic	23,068,558	23,048,249	(20,309)
1.12 Domestic All Electric	26,797,575	26,771,133	(26,442)
1.3 Special	66,301	66,226	(75)
2.1 GS 0-10 kW	-	-	-
2.2 GS 10-100 kW	13,257,327	13,244,124	(13,203)
2.3 GS 110-1,000 kVa	9,274,376	9,263,570	(10,807)
2.4 GS Over 1,000 kVa	4,950,547	4,944,567	(5,980)
4.1 Street and Area Lighting	1,337,567	1,336,976	(591)
<b>Subtotal Rural</b>	<b>78,752,250</b>	<b>78,674,844</b>	<b>(77,406)</b>
<b>Total</b>	<b>585,029,814</b>	<b>585,029,814</b>	-