

1 Q. (Reference 2017 GRA Volume I, page 6.8) It is stated (lines 6 to 8) *“Through*
2 *evaluation of the evidence provided in the GRA process, the Board will determine*
3 *whether Hydro’s proposed approach to disposition is reasonable or if an alternate*
4 *approach is preferred.”* Please identify the alternate approaches considered by
5 Hydro and provide the pros and cons of each relative to the proposed approach.
6
7

8 A. The Board will determine whether the savings from off-island power purchases
9 should be: (i) used to minimize electricity rates during the Muskrat Falls Project pre-
10 commissioning period; (ii) set aside for future use to help mitigate the impact of
11 post-commissioning Muskrat Falls Project costs on customer rates; or (iii) some
12 combination of providing rate mitigation during both the Muskrat Falls pre-
13 commissioning period and the Muskrat Falls post-commissioning period.
14

15 The pros and cons of the alternative approaches for disposition of the balance that
16 would accumulate in the proposed Off-Island Purchases Deferral Account are
17 provided in Hydro’s response to CA-NLH-042.