NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

AN ORDER OF THE BOARD

NO. P.U. 39(2017)

1	IN THE MA	ATTER OF the Electrical Power
2	Control Act, 1994, SNL 1994, Chapter E-5.1	
3	(the "EPCA") and the Public Utilities Act, RSNL	
4	1990, Chapter P-47, as amended (the "Act"), and	
5	regulations tl	nereunder; and
6	_	
7	IN THE MATTER OF an application filed by	
8	Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro for the recovery	
9	of 2015 and 2016 balances in the Isolated Systems	
10	Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account, the Energy	
11	Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account, and the	
12	Holyrood Conversion Rate Deferral Account, pursuant	
13	to sections $70(1)$ and 80 of the Act .	
14		
15		
16	The Application	
17		
18	On October 11, 2017 Newfoundland and Labrador Hydro ("Hydro") filed an application for	
19	approval to recover the 2015 and 2016 balances in the supply cost deferral accounts approved in	
20	Order No. P.	U. 22(2017) (the "Application). The Application proposed:
21	(i)	approval of the 2015 and 2016 balances in the Isolated Systems Supply Cost
22		Variance Deferral Account, the Energy Supply Cost Variance Deferral Account,
23		and the Holyrood Conversion Rate Deferral Account;
24	(ii)	the transfer of the net balance of \$42,209,568 to the Hydraulic Variation Account
25		of the Rate Stabilization Plan ("RSP"), effective December 31, 2017;
26	(iii)	allocation of the 2016 balance in the Isolated Systems Supply Cost Variance
27		Deferral Account based on the 2015 Test Year Rural Deficit Allocation; and
28	(iv)	a one-time transfer between the Newfoundland Power Inc.("Newfoundland
29		Power") and the Island Industrial Customers RSP Current Plan, effective
30		December 31, 2017, to ensure cost recovery based on 2015 and 2016 energy
31		consumption.
32		
33		the Application Hydro filed evidence in relation to the balances in the accounts and
34	the allocation of the balances as well as the required information with respect to its efforts to	
35	minimize cos	sts on the Isolated systems.

The Application was copied to: Newfoundland Power; the Consumer Advocate, Dennis Browne, Q.C.; a group of Industrial customers: Corner Brook Pulp and Paper Limited, NARL Refining LP

36 37

38

and Vale Newfoundland and Labrador Limited (the "Industrial Customer Group"); Praxair Canada Inc.: and Teck Resources Limited.

On November 3, 2017 Hydro answered requests for information from the Board, Newfoundland Power and the Industrial Customer Group.

On November 3, 2017 the Board's financial consultants, Grant Thornton, filed a report stating that nothing came to the attention of Grant Thornton in its review of the Application that the amounts reported are not in accordance with the account definitions as approved.

On November 10, 2017 Newfoundland Power filed a written submission. Hydro filed a written reply on November 16, 2017. The Industrial Customer Group, the Consumer Advocate, Praxair Canada Inc. and Teck Resources Limited did not file submissions.

Submissions

In its written submission Newfoundland Power argued that the Board should not approve the Application at this time as the current proceeding did not provide a sufficient opportunity for a full assessment of whether the costs in the deferral accounts were reasonably incurred to provide reliable service. In Newfoundland Power's view the fact that Hydro's revised approach to generation dispatch has not been fully considered by the Board, together with the magnitude of the costs proposed for recovery, suggests that further interrogation is appropriate. Newfoundland Power commented that Hydro was not able to provide the information requested in a number of requests for information and that Hydro indicated that it had not evaluated the costs and benefits of utilizing the Holyrood Gas Turbine as non-spinning reserve versus spinning reserve. Newfoundland Power submitted that a technical conference or another process that would provide for a more thorough interrogation of the matter is required.

In reply Hydro submitted that it should recover all of its supply costs that have been reasonably incurred to provide reliable service to the Island Interconnected system and further that there should be no disincentive to the prudent use of Hydro's generators. According to Hydro there is sufficient evidence on the record to justify the recovery of the \$42.2 million in prudently incurred supply costs. Hydro submitted that all necessary information to enable the Board and the parties to assess the prudency of the expenditures was provided and further that the Board's financial consultants confirmed Hydro's calculations. Hydro stated that it filed documentation which detailed the factors leading to the cost variances and provided detail as to the operation of its standby generation units. Hydro submitted that the responses filed to three identified information requests were sufficient. Hydro noted that Newfoundland Power did not provide evidence to demonstrate why Hydro should not be provided recovery and submitted that the discussion of reduced reliability for lower costs should be addressed on a prospective basis not related to costs which have already been incurred to provide greater reliability. Hydro noted that no intervenor objected to the proposed recovery approach and submitted that this approach minimizes customer impacts and provides Hydro recovery in a timely manner.

Board Findings

The Application proposed recovery of \$42.2 million in deferred supply costs for the years 2015 and 2016. While Hydro is entitled to recovery of all prudently incurred costs necessary to provide

service, it must demonstrate that the costs are reasonable. Hydro filed evidence which detailed the balances in each of the three accounts for each year and the major factors contributing to the balances and further explained the proposed allocation of the costs to customer classes. Newfoundland Power submitted that the costs proposed for recovery reflect a revised approach to the dispatch of Hydro's generation sources and further interrogation is required. The Board is satisfied that Newfoundland Power has raised an issue which requires further review, given the magnitude of the costs and the fact that the information provided does not adequately address the costs and benefits of Hydro's approach to generation dispatch and the alternatives which may be available. While Hydro provided some additional information in response to questions related to generation dispatch, the Board cannot conclude based on the record that the costs reflected in the accounts are reasonable and necessary to provide reliable service.

The Board also has concerns with respect to the proposed approach to the recovery of the balances in the supply cost deferral accounts. The Application proposed that the \$42.2 million balance in these accounts be transferred to the Hydraulic Variation Account of the RSP. This transfer would provide immediate recovery to Hydro but would deplete the balance in the Hydraulic Variation Account and create a negative balance in the account to be recovered from customers over a number of years through the annual RSP adjustment. The Board is concerned that this transfer is inconsistent with the normal operation of the Hydraulic Variation Account and may serve to defeat the purpose of this account, which is to smooth out the impacts of annual variances in system inflows and storage levels over a number of years. The proposed approach is especially concerning given recent reports in relation to aggregate reservoir storage levels on the Island Interconnected system.

The Application explained that Hydro proposed the use of the credit balance in the Hydraulic Variation Account on the basis of the materiality of potential future rate increases associated with other applications before the Board. In the Board's view it is premature to consider potential rate increases which may or may not materialize in relation to other untested applications before the Board. Further the amount proposed to be recovered is material and little information was provided in relation to the available alternatives aside from the use of the Hydraulic Variation Account. The Board notes that recovery of the \$42.2 million balance by way of a rate rider would result in a rate increase of 6.4% for the end customer and 8.2% for Island Industrial Customers.

Hydro requested approval to recover the balances in the supply cost deferral accounts by December 31, 2017 and noted that it is not currently recovering financing costs related to the deferred supply costs. Nevertheless, the Board finds that based on the record Hydro has not demonstrated that the Application should be approved. Hydro may file a further application with additional information related to generation dispatch and available alternatives for recovery of the costs. The Board notes that Hydro's 2017 general rate application is ongoing and settlement discussions are scheduled for early January with the hearing scheduled to begin on January 30, 2018. In the Board's view the general rate application may be the most convenient forum to address the issues related to the recovery of the supply costs. This would permit the consideration of the issues in the context of additional information related to generation dispatch, hydrology and the factors affecting rates and account balances through the full range of processes available in a general rate application, including cross examination, and technical and settlement conferences.

¹ PUB-NLH-007 also shows that rates charged to Newfoundland Power would increase by 9.4%.

1.

DATED at St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrador, this 29th day of November 2017.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

The Application is dismissed.

2. Hydro shall pay all costs and expenses of the Board arising from this Application.

Darlene Whalen, P. Eng.

Vice-Chair

Dwanda Newman, LL.B.

Commissioner

Commissioner

Board Secretary