NEWFOUNDLAND AND LABRADOR BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS OF PUBLIC UTILITIES

AN ORDER OF THE BOARD

NO. P. U. 31(2008)

1	IN THE MATTER OF the Electrical Power
2	Control Act, RSNL 1994, Chapter E-5.1 (the
3	"EPCA") and the Public Utilities Act, RSNL 1990,
4	Chapter P-47 (the "Act") as amended, and their
5	subordinate regulations;
6	
7 8	AND
9	IN THE MATTER OF an application by
10	Newfoundland & Labrador Hydro ("Hydro")
11	for approval of the deferral of expenses associated
12	with the repair of the Unit 2 Turbine at the
13	Holyrood Thermal Generating Station as a
14	Major Extraordinary Repair, pursuant to Sections 69,
15	78 and 80 of the <i>Act</i> .
16	
17	
18	<u>Application</u>
19	
20	On September 4, 2008 Hydro applied to the Board for an Order approving the amortization of
21	costs incurred in 2007 in relation to repairs of the Unit 2 Turbine at the Holyrood Thermal
22	Generating Station (the "Application").
23	
24	On October 8, 2008 the Board sent information requests to Hydro in relation to the Application.
25	Hydro responded to these information requests on October 30, 2008. A further information
26	request was sent to Hydro on November 6, 2008. Hydro responded to the further request on
27	November 14, 2008.

- 1 No submission in relation to the Application was received from the Consumer Advocate or
- 2 Newfoundland Power, both having been copied with all correspondence. The Industrial
- 3 Customers wrote the Board on September 11, 2008 to advise that the Industrial Customers would
- 4 not be submitting any comments specific to the Application.

5

6

Decision

- 7 In the fall of 2007, during a scheduled annual preventative maintenance inspection of the Unit 2
- 8 Turbine at the Holyrood Thermal Generating Station, internal damage to the turbine was
- 9 identified. In consultation with General Electric Energy Services Canada, the original equipment
- manufacturer, the cause and extent of the damage was identified and repaired in 2007. The total
- 11 cost of the Unit 2 Turbine repair, including General Electric Energy Services Canada, Service
- and Plant service costs, net of the insurance proceeds was \$1,103,605.

13

- 14 Hydro has applied to treat this repair as a Major Extraordinary Repair and to amortize the total
- 15 cost of repairs plus financing of \$1,433,000 over a seven-year period. Hydro asks that the costs
- be treated as a Major Extraordinary Repair on the basis that the repair does not result in the
- 17 replacement or betterment, is not typical of the normal repair expenditures, and the cost exceeds
- 18 \$500,000. Hydro states that these costs would have a significant adverse effect on Hydro's
- earnings were they to be expensed in 2007, the year in which they were incurred.

20

- 21 Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) would require that these operating costs be
- 22 recognized as expenses in the fiscal year in which they are incurred unless an alternative
- 23 treatment is approved by the Board. The Board has in the past acknowledged that it is
- 24 appropriate in certain circumstances to defer certain major extraordinary repairs. The Board has
- accepted that it is appropriate to consider whether an expense is an extraordinary repair cost if it
- meets the minimum threshold of \$500,000 and would cause a rate shock or a shock in Hydro's
- earnings that is considered unreasonably high. This approach was accepted by the Board based
- on a Peat Marwick report completed for Hydro in July of 1991, "Accounting for Major Plant
- 29 Replacement and Repairs".

Based on this accepted approach the Board will, in appropriate circumstances, allow the deferral of costs incurred in relation to a Major Extraordinary Repair. This determination depends on the circumstances and will not necessarily be made in every case of a non-capital repair costing more than \$500,000. The Board notes that the Holyrood Thermal Generating Station is an aging plant and as such more of these types of unexpected repairs may be seen in the future. The Board does not believe that it is appropriate to routinely consider each non-capital expense which is greater than \$500,000 as a Major Extraordinary repair to be deferred. Each case has to

be brought to the Board for consideration based on the circumstances.

Hydro acknowledges that GAAP would require that in the ordinary course these costs be expensed as incurred (PUB-NLH-2). However Hydro says that rather than expensing these costs in 2007 as required by GAAP, these costs were deferred as at the December 31, 2007 year-end, without an order of the Board. Hydro states in PUB-NLH-1 that it relied on the precedent set in Order No. P. U. 44(2006) in deferring the estimate of costs as at the December 31, 2007 year-end. However, Hydro did not apply to the Board in 2007 before year-end for approval of this deviation for GAAP.

The Board notes that the circumstances considered in Order No. P. U. 44(2006) were substantially different than those in the within application. In Order No. P. U. 44(2006) the Board allowed the deferral of costs in the amount of \$2,233,060, plus financing costs, which were incurred in relation to the failure of a boiler tube in Unit 2 at the Holyrood Thermal Generating Station. In Order No. P. U. 44(2006) the Board noted that Hydro was in a loss position in 2006 and said in relation to the costs of the 2006 Unit 2 boiler repair:

"The costs if expensed would almost double Hydro's forecast loss for 2006. The Board finds that, in the current circumstances, these costs would cause a significant shock to Hydro's earnings if they were to be recognized in 2006 and should be deferred over a period of time."

The circumstances in the within matter are substantially different. In 2007 Hydro was not in a loss position and in fact had earnings on regulated activities of \$2,891,000 (Return 13, 2007)

1 Annual Report, March 2008). Also the repair costs set out in the Application are \$1,103,605, 2 substantially less than the repair costs deferred in 2006 of \$2,233,060. Hydro has not shown that 3

expensing the costs of the Unit 2 Turbine repair would cause a shock to its earnings. While

these expenses are clearly of a non-capital nature and greater than \$500,000 Hydro has not

shown that the circumstances are extraordinary requiring special treatment. The Board is not

satisfied that it is appropriate in the circumstances of this Application to defer the cost of the

repairs of the Unit 2 Turbine as a Major Extraordinary Repair.

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

4

5

6

7

It should also be noted that the Board has concerns about the approach taken by Hydro to defer these costs in 2007 without applying to the Board for approval before the year-end and then proceeding to finalize the 2007 financial statements contrary to GAAP which would require that the costs be treated as an expense in the absence of an order of the Board. The Board notes that Hydro's proposal to approve this deferral as of 2007 may raise jurisdictional issues if such an approach were found to constitute retroactive regulation. In light of the findings of the Board as discussed above this determination is not necessary in this case; however, this may be a

17

18

19

20

In the circumstances the Board finds that Hydro's proposal to treat the 2007 costs in relation to the repair of the Unit 2 Turbine as a Major Extraordinary repair with deferral of the costs over seven years is not reasonable and prudent in the circumstances.

21

22

23

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED THAT:

24 25

1. The Application is denied.

consideration in the future.

26

27 2. Hydro shall pay the expenses of the Board arising from this Application.

DATED at St. John's, Newfoundland and Labrad	lor, this 18 th day of December 2008.
	Andy Wells Chair & Chief Executive Officer
	Darlene Whalen, P. Eng. Vice-Chair
	Dwanda Newman, LLB Commissioner
	
G. Cheryl Blundon Board Secretary	